



**ADDENDUM/AMENDMENT NUMBER 1
(January 22, 2016)**

RIO RANCHO PUBLIC SCHOOLS

**IFB#2016-002-FAC
Rio Rancho Elementary School Re- Roofing**

DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS IS AS FOLLOWS:

DUE DATE: Thursday, February 4, 2016

TIME: 2:00 PM MST

DELIVER TO: Rio Rancho Public Schools
Purchasing Room 120
500 Laser Road NE
Rio Rancho, New Mexico 87124

The purpose of this amendment is to *extend the due date and time to 2:00 pm, February 4, 2016*, and to provide Rio Rancho Public Schools written response to the following list of questions that have been timely submitted. Note, the last day to submit additional written questions will be Thursday Jan. 28, 2016. Any additional questions that are timely submitted will be addressed in Addendum # 2. *All other terms, conditions, specifications, requirements and criteria remain unchanged.*

The following is a listing of the questions that have been timely submitted and RRPS corresponding responses:

Question #1. If we are to bid on both projects, can you confirm that only one set of Bidding Documents (including the Bid Form and Bid Bond) are required, or do we need to provide one set of documents specific to the Roof Replacement and one specific to the HVAC Upgrades?

Answer: *Contractors will need two separate bid package documents.*

Question #2. Item number 7 on each Bid Form mentions a list of documents to be attached to our bids. Can you tell me what action is required of us in regards to “E. Fingerprint and Background Check Form”?

Answer: *Refer to Instructions to Bidders Part B, pages 002114-1-2 & Fingerprinting form.*

Question #3. Can you confirm that layout plans and project schedules are not part of the Bidding Deliverables, and will instead be required only by the contractor(s) awarded the projects?

Answer: Correct.

Question #4. Please verify the construction time frame (start dates and finish dates). Per the pre-bid meeting, we came away with a construction start date after school gets out and required completion prior to school start-up; specification allows for more time.

Answer: Contract time remains 90 days, per Bid Form r1.

Question #5. Bid lot I & II have some overlap, is it the intent to have all MEP in the HVAC bid lot II removal and replacement? This would include the ceiling removal and replacement in the Bid lot II HVAC?

Answer: Correct.

Question #6. Will there be any sprinkler modifications in this work?

Answer: See Bid Lot 2 documents.

Question #7. If both projects are to be awarded to a single contractor, can you confirm that a price can be given for a reduction in General Conditions?

Answer: No.

Question #8. Can you clarify who is to be held responsible for possible water damage to the building during the period when the roof has been removed?

Answer: Bid Lot 1 contractor.

Question #9. Invitation to bid form (page 3), indicates all subcontractors over 50,000 to provide a performance bond. Is this correct? Ordinarily the requirement is over 125,000.

Answer: No, page three (3) is hereby amended to change the subcontractor performance and payment bond threshold to \$125,000.

Question #10. Is the \$25,000 cash allowance for structural work still required?

Answer: No

Question #11. Is lot 1 contractor or lot 2 contractor responsible for covering the new roof curbs, until the mechanical units get set?

Answer: Lot 1 contractor.

Question #12. Can you tell us if the existing roof structure to the annex restroom areas being remodeled are metal deck or dycore?

Answer: Metal Deck

Question #13. Can you tell us if the existing sloped roof structure to the annex skylight area being remodeled is dycore or metal deck?

Answer: Combination of wood and metal framing.

Question #14. Can you tell us if the tunnel structure ceiling at the corridor is dycore or a joist type structure?

Answer: *Main roof deck is dycore, subroof is acoustic panel and GWB with metal framing.*

Question #15. Are there existing fire sprinklers and or fire alarms in the annex area that need to be remove and or replaced?

Answer: *yes.*

Question #16. Given the known difficulty and low success rate of mechanically fastening roof assemblies to Di-Cor concrete panels, will the substitution of low-rise foam and adhesive be an acceptable alternative to mechanically fastening? Additionally, if mechanical fastening is the only alternative, please specify fastener type and also define responsibility for any damage to the dycore panels that results.

Answer: *Yes, low rise foam and adhesive for a fully adhered roofing over dycore areas in Annex will be acceptable. Additional details and specifications will be forthcoming in Addendum # 2.*

Question #17. Is the work described above subject to third-party inspection. If so, by whom?

Answer: *Yes, see Specification Section 07500, Manufacturer's Representative Inspection, Quality Assurance, 1.5, (C) Successful Bidder will be required to submit evidence of Roof Installer's Pre-Qualifications as per the above Specification, section (B).*